Jog Kay

Boster, Lept. 8, 1889.

My Dear Friend:

219 Your letter in reply to mine of July 25 is just received. You have taken a long time in which to shape it - so long that I had given up all expectation of hearing from you on the subjedt. Of this I do not complain. I only regret to perceive that it shows no absternest of heat, inflammation, exasperation of mind on your fact; for if I were gont enemy, instead of an old and attached friend - if I had purposely intended to wrong, and injuse you, instead of unintentionally" having Ine so, as you admitted in a former letter - I do not see how your appoint alienation of mint and sharpress of manner could early be heightened. Bot this concerns you own pence This gives me orfeigned pain; and surprise; but it concerns your our peace of mind, much more than it does my own, and I can only deplove the revelation.

You send me twenty closely written payes to prove that you are a woman of integrity of purpuse in your arti-slowery labors! Is I have never

"intentionally designed to impeach your integrity, it is a useless effect. After a careful period of all that you labor lost. After a careful period of all that you have written, and all that has transferred, I have no "retraction" to make, no "apology" to offer, because I do not see or feel that I have been a wrong-boer. I will not attempt to make an elaborate ac-points in your letter, for I am feersunded that nothing will satisfy you, except "retraction and apology; and this Dis a demand I cannot comply with, for the reason above stated; though there is nothing I am nearly to do for you within the bounds of reason and salf-respect. Yet, I will refer to two or the proto; and then, to far us I an concerned, brig this correspondence to a find close. On the first page of your letter, you group togetter various expressions of mine, detached from their connection, in regards to my judgment of your state of mind and course of conduct. Is they stand in my letter, I see nothing to alter. It seems there must be no criticis on of any they you may say or do, for it is at once tortuned into a personal afformt. The sensitiveness while you feel and exhibit in the

of their, who may be as sincerely acting of to their light, and as frittfully attenting to porform their day as they under to and it, as yourself. Boliving that you were suffering under "a morbid condition of mind, or some mental hallowing that time bear, nearly I frankly and charitably said so, nearly to give you no offence thereby. They I thought you had "acted in a morning mot computable with air three self-respect or personal friendship," I fully stated in my former letter, and I read not prover that grown again.

and sice it organization, you had not spend either Republicans or the Republican party for their pro-clavery acts. Neither have I keen lacking in fidelity in that direction, according to my rule of judgment. Of that I did not complain in my censure of your course in obtaining fands for our date blank transary. I went, timied, conforming—that Jo say of a fact that it does not aim to abolish see slavery, but only to stop its all amendment outs new territories, and to repel the aggressions of the Slave approximations, and therefore is thealing with a bide issue,

1

E.

but to attempt to get it branded as either the worst even y or the most dangerous obstacle to any of the Am. I. S. deciet, after ordining enurse, by the Am. I. S. deciet, after ordining of its leaders money for the heaveny of that fruit, a the ground that one the result of its expenditure in the leaturing field unled be a diministran of "Republi-curring field unled be a diministran of "Republi-curring," as well as advanted the thing—as widely different as the norm of day from midnight. And different as the norm of day from midnight. And there I think you conduct has been blame untity, I have a well as that of some afters.

Your last, line will affort was made in this with and vicinity, at a time when there was a grand total collapse in his ineas, when there was a mires all district, when many could not be kind, exception i limited at the most exorbitant rates; at the in toull and limited loans. No perior, seemed to be more hopeless in regard to obtaining bunds for our unpopular movement; all the numbers of our Brand deened it to be so; even your own indomitable spirit almost quailed, and saw and comfend the desperations of the care, though reordered to make the attempt. Now, by what process did you propose to touch the feelings and write the self-rainfy liberality of the lunding Republications of the lunding Republications, by want to security their ex-operation? Was it to go and change them to their faces with they hypricition, muchuers, a evenier, or even of. stacles in the way of our noble course & the - the solicit their money, and assure them it

should be expended in branding them with the terms aforesaid, by the very Society they were asked to and? No. Had you done this, you would assured "had your labor for your pains, and have regarded either displanation, with intigration for your efforting or fity for your weekness. But you did no such thing. You said to me and the Broand - "I will show them that, in helping us, they will help the growth of the Republica- porty- [not the growth ofthe greatest obstacle, or the most brogerous every I much to be fewel and deprecated then any this the South can do ] - I will remind them of the fact, that where there is the most of talka abolitionism, there he Republican finds its strongest support as a philosophical particularly, consequence on I will point than to the black belt (Demonatie) in Pennsylvania, Illinois, actor Indiana, and other parts of the West, and tell them that, if they will help us, we will whaten It out before the Presidential election of 1860."

This was your plan, and it muste you enthusiantie, and confident of success. You acted whom it to the letter, and the result was in various in ford field, singtonces, liberal donations, to on treasury, from

well-known Republicans. All this was right and proper. I entend with your plan with all my heart, and rejviced that you succeeded to well. I have not on to takened you corapromised nothing, though you then waived all personal controversy as to the relative position of the Republicant to our own movement.

It last came the N. E. A. S. Convention, of which way my judgment ungistly sever afor the Republican party, and such me as Dr. Cheever and his associutes; you trught, with no little heat, to obtain to possege of a resolution, branding that party as more dorgerous, and therefore more to be found and assailed, than ever saturic Denveray itself; and there was no lack of insummation or accusathe Executive Committee, were going backward, and growing empaithful to the demands of the house. This resolution I resisted as a matter of conscience and foir dealy. in view of your lost financial operations Biston, appeared to me the growest inconsist ency, and elicited the whole of gove, without

namely you or any other person. That your man justified to yourself, I did not don't; but I could not find any justification for your course, under the circumstances. For do I think it would be justified "on "Change," or by any inpartial jung. Certainly, while you are in such a state of mind, I shall not be willing to appointly you, or any other person similarly affected, as a linewisch agent of the A.S.S.

You ask me why I did not in private with the remarkate with very, My, on the contrary, did in go into a public assaubly, mude up of the friends and the free of the course, and these impeach me?" My reply is, that I met the emergency when it orose, and as it seemed to one duty to the course demanded. I may have been confuterable in the language I went to many the course of the c

not plend that you had not opportunity most ample to speak to me on this subject, for I had made you house my home for weeks, while I

was specially employed in making these solicitations," - I reply, true; but, at that time, there was no call for cuitains, and I was glad, as well as the Execution Committee, to see you in a frame of mind toward the Republican party that indicated a more broad and philosophical new of many phones of the bute- Slavery strygle. Nor did I dream that you would so soon it with the led to into such therefore and incursisting.

You refer once more to my disapointment in not bearing from you at the outset. You ask-They should I have written to you? I had nothing to communicate. The offer a of which I complained was public, and already well understood by rom. they should I have repeated what had already been better done by others?" To this my refly is, that I have give you the reasons in my former letters why you should have written to me, and those reasons you have not met. The offere fish I complained was public." But you made no comflaint - at least, none to me. So for an any string from expressing a und in my hours, or said me a line, indicating that you felt I had done you

an injury bu oft reparation ought to be made, gn preserved a long, unbroken seleve - except as you node others acquainted with your feelings. I insist that this was neither fair nor above to and; and that it was not for me to gulter up hears ay tother in so grave a matter. It was you duty, alike to as both, to call me to account, in a friendly spirit, by a direct communication. If you has nothing to communicate," what had to answer? It was a 'public' matter, for
"fit was of such vast inspect once to your Interno

tooth! Then why did you not take a 'public' mardring 
Thompsty to relinate from

Appropriate for the derivate

uny place inpression that my remarks may have made upon y

disclaimed at my horse? Whey do you decline dorfy it and But, to this day, had I not opened to present correspondence, I shall for the no reayou on the subject, withen by spirite or word of month! Is this what is due from one tried friend to another? Is this a specimen of outs-dany hidelity! And why do you decline accepting the overture con-You take fresh offence at my saying that you plea of want of time to me mo met say "favorell when you left my house in such haster, was "handly satisfactory," I in new of all the cinconstaces; and you shappy hid me ask der. you that morning, prior to reaching the depot, in order to the conviced that you were not in a state offert cited the ser we. I need do no such eited from I mind to see me. I need do no such things. I am willing and glad to accept your explanation

as to my mistaken impressions respecting you feelings on that occasion. I would comment upon many other points in your letter, but I forher - havely ahendy written more than I intended. I deeply regret this collision, but an consoled to know it was none groy decking. I will make no parade of the feelings which I still chairs for you, in spite of all that has transfired, but only subscribe myself, as hitherto, Your faithful pien and co-worker in the course of the opprened, W- L. G. A.K. T. come they to this day that we opposed the from the same of the same of the same and to expert the state of the property of the service of the property of the service of the s In the state of the pro- my friend friends to something

The first plan of the second pla

Andrew of the same of the same